*** ***

**PROJECT PERIODIC REPORT**

**Grant Agreement number:** 288985

**Project acronym:** SERSCIDA

**Project title:** Support for Establishment of National/Regional Social Sciences Data Archives

**Funding Scheme:** FP7-CSA-SA

**Date of latest version of Annex I against which the assessment will be made:**

**Periodic report: 1st □ 2nd 🗹 3rd □ 4th □**

**Period covered: from** 01/07/2013 **to** 30/06/2014

**Name, title and organisation of the scientific representative of the project's coordinator****[[1]](#footnote-1):** Ms Lejla Somun-Krupalija, on behalf of Ms Andjela Lalovic, University of Sarajevo, Human Rights Centre

**Tel:** +387 62 332 912

**Fax:** +387 33 66 82 51

**E-mail:** lsk@hrc.unsa.ba

**Project website****[[2]](#footnote-2) address:**

[**www.serscida.eu**](http://www.serscida.eu)

**Declaration by the scientific representative of the project coordinator**

|  |
| --- |
| I, as scientific representative of the coordinator of this project and in line with the obligations as stated in Article II.2.3 of the Grant Agreement declare that:* The attached periodic report represents an accurate description of the work carried out in this project for this reporting period;
* The project (tick as appropriate) [[3]](#footnote-3):
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* All beneficiaries, in particular non-profit public bodies, secondary and higher education establishments, research organisations and SMEs, have declared to have verified their legal status. Any changes have been reported under section 3.2.3 (Project Management) in accordance with Article II.3.f of the Grant Agreement.
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***3.1 Publishable summary***

**3.1.1 Summary description of project context and objectives**

SERSCIDA is designed as a strategic project for supporting the cooperation and exchange of

knowledge between the EU countries associated within the Council of European Social Sciences

Data Archives (CESSDA) and the Western Balkan Countries (WBC) in the field of social science

data archiving. The project addresses the issues of potentials of usage of information-communication technologies for the benefits of scientific research and exchange of knowledge as laid down in the call for proposals topic. The project aims to produce tangible results and improve the capacities for exchange of knowledge and data collected through research in social sciences between the European countries and WBC involved.

The four main objectives of SERSCIDA are:

• to support the establishment of social science data archives in order to increase the level of sharing and preserving data collected through research in WB countries

• to identify potentials and infrastructures for establishment of social science data archives in WB

countries involved

• to enhance the exchange of knowledge and sharing of data collected through research in social

sciences in the WB countries and EU – CESSDA countries.

• to increase the level of understanding and support for open access policies through involvement of policy and decision makers in WB countries.

Currently, primary data collected through research in social sciences in Bosnia and Herzegovina,

Serbia, and Croatia, remains mainly in possession of researchers or research institutions that have

conducted specific research projects. Research conducted in the post conflict period in these

countries, especially in social science, are valuable for the European scientific community for it

addresses the issues of transitions in societies, historical legacies, post-communist struggles for societal transformations etc. Data collected through such research, although of high value for social scientists (both in these countries and internationally) for any further exploration, remain unavailable. There are no existing or sustainable social science data archives in these countries that would deal with issues of preservation and archiving of those primary data. Research institutions in WB countries are currently faced with the problem of possible loss of valuable research data forever due to the lack of infrastructure and capacities for archiving such data in an adequate manner in line with existing European standards. Today, in Bosnia and Herzegovina for example, there is no institution in charge of archiving data collected through the empirical research in social sciences or providing access to those data to other researchers/research institutions within a similar field of interest. At the same time, many European countries do have specialized institutions – data archives in social sciences – dealing with archiving and preserving data collected through research, as well as providing easier access to data for research and research institutions as needed. Member institutions of CESSDA provide access to more than 25,000 data collections for more than 30,000 researchers in social sciences and humanities within the European Research Area.

**3.1.2 Description of work performed and main results**

REFLECT ON WHAT HAS BEEN DONE AND WHAT WERE THE RESULTS

**3.1.3 Expected final results and potential impacts**

REFLECT ON IMPACT OF PROJECT

***3.2 Core of the report for the period: Project objectives, work progress and achievements, project management***

**3.2.1** **Project objectives for the period**

All Project objectives for the reporting period were met:

* 1. Work Package 1 – Project Management
		1. Organize and implement the overall management of SERSCIDA.
		2. Provide sound financial management of SERSCIDA.
		3. Communicate proactively with all project partners as well as the European Commission regarding the implementation and progress of the project including the reporting due.
		4. Assure quality of the work carried out in all work packages.
	2. Work Package 4
	3. Work Package 5
	4. Work Package 6
		1. **Work progress and achievements during the period**

**3.2.2.1 Work package 4**

*Summary:*

**TASK...**

*Significant results:*

*Deviations to Annex I:*

*Failure to achieve to critical objectives*

*Use of resources*

COPY FROM NARRATIVE FINANCIAL TABLE

*Proposed corrective actions*

**3.2.2.2 Work package 5**

*Summary:*

**TASK...**

*Significant results:*

*Deviations to Annex I:*

*Failure to achieve to critical objectives*

*Use of resources*

COPY FROM NARRATIVE FINANCIAL TABLE

*Proposed corrective actions*

**3.2.2.1 Work package 4**

*Summary:*

**TASK...**

*Significant results:*

*Deviations to Annex I:*

*Failure to achieve to critical objectives*

*Use of resources*

COPY FROM NARRATIVE FINANCIAL TABLE

*Proposed corrective actions*

* + 1. **Project management during the period**

**3.3 Deliverables and milestones tables**

**3.4** **Explanation of the use of the resources and financial statements**

1. Usually the contact person of the coordinator as specified in Art. 8.1. of the Grant Agreement. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. The home page of the website should contain the generic European flag and the FP7 logo which are available in electronic format at the Europa website (logo of the European flag: <http://europa.eu/abc/symbols/emblem/index_en.htm> logo of the 7th FP: <http://ec.europa.eu/research/fp7/index_en.cfm?pg=logos>). The area of activity of the project should also be mentioned. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. If either of these boxes below is ticked, the report should reflect these and any remedial actions taken. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)